

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/060,520	01/30/2002 Wilfried Knott		512425-2070	8463	
20999	7590 04/21/2004	EXAMINER			
	LAWRENCE & HAU VENUE- 10TH FL.	MORILLO, JANELL COMBS			
NEW YORK,	. BITTE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1742		

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
¥		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/060,5	20	KNOTT ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
		1	Combs-Morillo	1742					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by strength of the communication. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no ex . reply within the sta riod will apply and v atute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 October 200	<u>03</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is n	on-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 and 19-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-12,14-18 and 24-42 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers								
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b the drawing(s) rection is requi	be held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF					
•	ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	<i>y</i> =/(a//							
12) \(\simega \) 13) \(\simega \) 13) \(\simega \) 3 4 14) \(\simega \)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bursche the attached detailed Office action for a acknowledgment is made of a claim for domince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78. 1. The translation of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for domince as a claim for domince as a claim for domination of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for domination of the first sentence of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for domination of the first sentence of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for domination of the first sentence of the fir	nents have be nents have be priority docum reau (PCT Ru- list of the cen- estic priority use first sentence provisional a estic priority use	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receive ile 17.2(a)). tified copies not receive under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e of the specification o pplication has been rec under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National S ed. e) (to a provisional a r in an Application E ceived.	application) Data Sheet. specific				
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of in Paper No. 102403 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner can search the entire application without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the process and product clearly require separate searches, and the species listed in claim 42, etc. require separate searches as well.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-12, 15-18, 24-28, 30-39, 41, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 09-241780 (JP'780).

Concerning independent claims 1 and 24, JP'780 teaches a method of making a metal foam by mixing 0.1-5wt% titanium hydride blowing agent with molten metal (such as an aluminum alloy, see Table 1), pouring into a mold, and reheating to undergo foaming (abstract). JP'780 does not mention the presence of a "die casting machine comprising a sleeve or short chamber", however, said limitation is an apparatus limitation, and does not impart patentability to the presently claimed method claim. Because the mold taught by JP'780 is a suitable die cavity, and because JP'780 teaches a process of producing a metal foam substantially as

Art Unit: 1742

presently claimed, it is held that JP'780 has created a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention.

Concerning claims 2, 7, 11, 12, 16-18, 25-28, 30, 31, 32, 37, 41, and 42, the process stated above meets these dependent claim limitations.

Concerning claims 3, 5, 6, 33, 35, and 36, Selection of any order of mixing ingredients is prima facie obvious (see MPEP 2144.04), Ex parte Rubin, 128 USPQ 440 (Bd. App. 1959)

(Prior art reference disclosing a process of making a laminated sheet wherein a base sheet is first coated with a metallic film and thereafter impregnated with a thermosetting material was held to render prima facie obvious claims directed to a process of making a laminated sheet by reversing the order of the prior art process steps.). See also In re Burhans, 154 F.2d 690, 69 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1946) (selection of any order of performing process steps is prima facie obvious in the absence of new or unexpected results); In re Gibson, 39 F.2d 975, 5 USPQ 230 (CCPA 1930) (Selection of any order of mixing ingredients is prima facie obvious.)

Concerning claims 4 and 34, though JP'780 does not mention mixing in "a sleeve or short chamber", however, said limitation is an apparatus limitation, and does not impart patentability to the presently claimed method claim. JP'780 teaches a process of producing a metal foam substantially as presently claimed, including mixing prior to filling the mold.

Concerning claims 9 and 38, which mention said cavity is underfilled, JP'780 mentions an expansion of \geq 65% (see [0019], Table 1). It is within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art, given the disclosure of JP'780, to underfill the mold in order to prepare for expansion.

Concerning claims 10, 15, and 39, JP'780 at [0024] teaches that the mold is heated in a furnace along with the alloy.

Art Unit: 1742

4. Claims 8, 14, 29, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 09-241780 (JP'780) as applied to claims above, in view of Knott (US 5,972,285).

Concerning claims 8, 14, and 40 which state the die cavity is not heated or said process is a cold-chamber process, though JP'780 teaches a process of forming a metal foam by heating the mold and metal in a furnace, Knott teaches a process of placing a foamable metal (with added blowing agent) into a cavity in a mold, and adding heat to said foamable metal (i.e. not directly heating the die/cavity, see column 6 lines 32-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform a process of foaming, while only heating the metal, as taught by Knott, because said process is held to provide a suitable foamed metal.

Concerning claim 29, Knott teaches that the blowing agent can be an autocatalytically produced light metal hydride (column 2 lines 48-53). Knott teaches that said produced hydride provides for more uniform foaming (column 3 lines 1-3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an autocatalytically produced light metal hydride, as taught by Knott, for the blowing agent of JP'780, because Knott teaches that said hydride is suitable as a foam blowing agent, and because said hydride provides for more uniform foaming.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janelle Combs-Morillo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1240. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am- 6:00 pm.

Art Unit: 1742

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ROY KING

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700